

# Noise Analysis for Multi-slice Radio Frequency Current Density Imaging

D. Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, T.P. DeMonte<sup>1,2</sup>, M.L. Joy<sup>1,2</sup>, A. Nachman<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Toronto, ON, Canada

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Biomedical and Biomaterial Engineering, University Toronto, ON, Canada

*Abstract*— Radio frequency current density imaging (RF-CDI) is an imaging technique that measures current density distribution at the Larmor frequency utilizing magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). The multi-slice RF-CDI sequence has extended the ability of RF-CDI to image multiple slices and thus has enhanced its capacity for biomedical applications. In this paper, the influence of MRI random noise on the sensitivity of multi-slice RF-CDI measurement is studied. The formula of current noise is derived, which is verified by both simulation and phantom experiments. A 3-D finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) model is employed to compute the electromagnetic fields in the simulation.

*Keywords*— MRI, RF-CDI, FDTD.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Current density imaging (CDI) is an imaging technique that measures the electrical current density distribution based on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). The non-invasiveness and the high spatial resolution inherited from MRI makes CDI an ideal measurement of current density and current flow inside biological objects. Radio frequency current density imaging (RF-CDI) [1;2] is a branch of CDI that measures electrical current density at the Larmor frequency. The Larmor frequencies of most MR imagers are in the radio frequency (RF) range, hence the name radio frequency current density imaging.

In CDI techniques, currents are applied to the object to be imaged externally by electrodes. RF-CDI is potentially applicable in biomedical applications, because radio frequency current does not stimulate nerves and muscles[3]. Recently implemented multi-slice RF-CDI technique has enhanced the potential of RF-CDI for biological and clinical applications by extending its ability to image multi-slice RF current density.

The thermal noise of MRI affects the precision and the interpretation of CDI measurement and defines a fundamental limit of the sensitivity of the measurement. In LF-CDI and single-slice RF-CDI, CDI measures phase information from complex MRI images to calculate current density distribution. Therefore, the standard deviation of the reconstructed current density noise is proportional to the reciprocal of the signal to noise ratio (SNR) of MRI and a general form of current density noise was derived [1]. However, unlike LF-CDI and single-slice RF-CDI, multi-slice RF-CDI does not encode the current density information in MRI phase images directly. Instead, each twist angle due to the magnetic component produced by RF current is calculated from four complex images by arc

tangent operation {Wang, 2004}. Therefore, the general form of current density noise needs to be modified and parameters need to be clarified for multi-slice RF-CDI.

The goal of this paper is to analyze the current noise due to MRI random noise. First, a formula for the standard deviation of the current density noise is derived. Then, simulations that include a 3-D finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) model for the RF electromagnetic fields are utilized to verify the formula. Multi-slice RF-CDI experiment data are also analyzed.

## II. METHODOLOGY

### A Theoretical Analysis

With the assumption that the current flows mainly in the direction of the static magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}_0$ , RF current density can be estimated by

$$J_z = 2 \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{H}_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \tilde{H}_x}{\partial y} \right) + 2j \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{H}_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tilde{H}_y}{\partial y} \right). \quad (1)$$

In equation (1),  $J_z$  is the phasor form of total current density in the direction of main magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}_0$  or the  $z$  direction by convention.  $\tilde{H}_x$  and  $\tilde{H}_y$  represent the transverse magnetic field components produced by the Larmor frequency current in the rotating frame [1].

The effects of  $\tilde{H}_x$  and  $\tilde{H}_y$  are to rotate the magnetization  $\mathbf{M}$  away from the  $z$  axis and they are proportional to the twist angles  $\Gamma_x$  and  $\Gamma_y$ , respectively [2].

In the multi-slice RF-CDI sequence, components of  $\mathbf{M}$  are stored in  $\pm z$  axis and turned to transverse plane and measured slice by slice. Four complex images,  $C_{y+}^x$ ,  $C_{y-}^x$ ,  $C_{z+}^x$  and  $C_{z-}^x$ , are acquired to estimate  $\Gamma_x$  and the other four are for  $\Gamma_y$  [4].  $\Gamma_x$  is computed through arc tangent ( $\tan^{-1}$ ) or arc cotangent ( $\cot^{-1}$ ) operations.

$$\Gamma_x = \begin{cases} \tan^{-1} \left[ \frac{\text{Re}(C_{y+}^x / C_{z+}^x)}{\text{Re}(C_{y-}^x / C_{z-}^x)} \right] & \text{if } |C_{z+}^x| \geq |C_{y+}^x| \\ \cot^{-1} \left[ \frac{\text{Re}(C_{y+}^x / C_{z+}^x)}{\text{Re}(C_{y-}^x / C_{z-}^x)} \right] & \text{if } |C_{z+}^x| < |C_{y+}^x| \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} C_{y+}^x = C_{y+}^x - C_{y-}^x \\ C_{z+}^x = C_{z+}^x - C_{z-}^x \end{cases}. \quad (3)$$

We write the complex images in real and imaginary form

$$C_{y+}^x = R_{y+}^x + iI_{y+}^x \quad (4)$$

Now consider independent Gaussian random noise with mean zero and variance  $\sigma^2$  added to the true MR signal. We denote the random noise  $\delta R_{y+}^x$ ,  $\delta I_{y+}^x$  and likewise.

The measured twist angle  $\Gamma_x + \delta\Gamma_x$  can then be expanded in a Taylor series around the true value  $\Gamma_x$  by considering  $\Gamma_x$  as a function of  $R_{y+}^x$ ,  $I_{y+}^x$ ,  $R_{y-}^x$  and so on. With big MR signal to noise ratio (SNR), truncating Taylor series up to the linear term is a good approximation for  $\delta\Gamma_x$  [5]. Using this approach we obtain the mean and variance of  $\delta\Gamma_x$ . The mean value of  $\delta\Gamma_x$  is

$$\overline{\delta\Gamma_x} = 0. \quad (5)$$

Therefore, the variance of  $\delta\Gamma_x$  is given by  $\overline{\delta\Gamma_x^2}$

$$\overline{\delta\Gamma_x^2} = \frac{e^{2(t/T_1 + T_2^*/T_2^*)}}{2|\mathbf{M}|^2} \sigma^2, \quad (6)$$

in which  $t$  is time between event of the component of the magnetization stored in the z axis and the event of the component turned to the transverse plane for measurement.  $|\mathbf{M}|$  is the magnitude of magnetization at time  $t = 0$ .  $T_1$  and  $T_2^*$  are the longitudinal constant and the effective transverse relaxation constant, respectively. If we define the reference image the MR image with no current applied and the magnetization turned to the transverse plane at time 0, then  $|\mathbf{M}|e^{-T_2^*/T_2^*}$  is the signal of the reference image magnitude. Therefore, the standard deviation of  $\Gamma_x$  is

$$\sigma_{\Gamma_x} = \frac{e^{t/T_1}}{\sqrt{2SNR}}. \quad (7)$$

$SNR$  is the MRI signal to noise ratio of the reference image. Finally, the standard deviation of noise in either real part or imaginary part of current density is

$$\sigma_j = \frac{\sqrt{2}e^{t/T_1}}{\gamma\mu_0 T_c SNR} \sqrt{\left(\frac{F_x}{\Delta x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{F_y}{\Delta y}\right)^2}. \quad (8)$$

where  $T_c$  is the duration of applied current,  $\gamma$  is the gyromagnetic ratio of proton and  $\mu_0$  is the magnetic permeability of free space.  $F_x$  and  $F_y$  are the derivative noise template weighting factors, while  $\Delta x$  and  $\Delta y$  are the pixel

dimensions. For the cases that Sobel templates were used in this thesis,  $F_x = F_y = \sqrt{3}/4$  [1].

$t$  in equation (8) is different for each slice. It increases as the slice number increases. Therefore, equation (8) predicts that the current noise grows exponentially depending on  $T_1$  as the slice number increases.

### B Simulation and Experiment Verification

A cylindrical phantom used in RF-CDI experiments with diameter 38mm and height 110mm is shown in Fig. 1 (a). The phantom was filled with doped saline, which is made up of 0.154 M (0.9 g /100 ml) NaCl, and 8 mM (0.2 g/100 ml) CuSO<sub>4</sub> of distilled water, with the longitudinal relaxation rate around 160ms. For this doped saline,  $\sigma \approx 1.5$  S/m and  $\epsilon_r \approx 80$ . Copper plate electrodes are placed on both ends, which are connected to copper wires.

The electromagnetic fields produced by RF current for the cylindrical phantom are simulated by 3-D FDTD[6]. The computational domain is sketched in Fig. 1(b). Mur's second order absorbing boundary conditions were implemented on the boundaries. The dimensions for the 3-D Yee's cell are  $\Delta x = \Delta y = \Delta z = 2$  mm.  $\Delta t = 3.47 \times 10^{-12}$  s for the simulation. The thin electrodes and wires are represented by setting all tangential components of electric field to zero. The longitudinal wire has a length of 138 mm and its distance to the center of the axis of the phantom is 80mm.




Fig 1 (a) A small cylindrical phantom used in RF-CDI experiments. \* indicates the positions of electrodes. (b)Computational domain

A current source was placed in one cell along the wire as indicated in Fig. 1(b). A sinusoidal current excitation at frequency 64 MHz was implemented to approximate the applied current at the Larmor frequency for a 1.5 T MRI in time domain. When steady-state response was achieved, four points in one sinusoidal cycle were recorded at each cell, which were then converted to response in frequency domain by discrete Fourier transform.

The rotating frame components on transverse plane (xy plane) were calculated. With current duration  $T_c = 8$  ms, the rotation angles were computed and converted to eight MR images. The magnitude of magnetization was normalized to unity and zero mean independent normally distributed noise with the standard deviation  $1/SNR$  was added to both real and imaginary parts of each complex image. After current density was reconstructed according to (1), it was compared to the noiseless current density. With the differences of the two sets of images, current noise mean and standard deviation are evaluated.

Multi-slice RF-CDI experiments were performed using the phantom shown in Fig. 1(a) in a 1.5 T clinical MR imager without current applied.  $T_c = 8$  ms for all the experiments. The mean and standard deviation of the current density were evaluated to investigate the random noise effects. The phantom was placed in the MR imager with its longitudinal axis aligned long the direction of  $\mathbf{B}_0$ .

### III. RESULTS

The simulation was calculated for around 20000 time steps. The current density along z direction was computed directly in FDTD simulation by generalized Ampere’s law. The resulted waveform for a point at the center of the phantom in time domain is plotted in Fig. 2. After about one and a half cycles (about 23 ns), the waveform is almost in pure sinusoidal shape which indicates that the response to the excitation reaches the steady-state.

The steady-state electromagnetic field response in time




Fig.2 Current density along the z direction at the center of the phantom




Fig. 3 Top:  $J_z$  magnitude (a) and phase(b) calculated)from rotating frame components  
Bottom:  $J_z$  calculated magnitude (c) and phase(d) directly

domain was converted to phasor form. Fig 3 shows the simulated current density magnitude and phase in z direction at one centre slice of the phantom. The magnitude and phase of current density computed by rotating frame components according to (1) are shown in Fig3 (a) and (b). They are very similar to the magnitude and phase of  $J_z$  simulated directly from the FDTD model which are shown in Fig3 (c) and (d).

Current density noises were evaluated in either real or imaginary parts of the reconstructed current density at different simulated current levels with different MRI SNR values. The results are consistent with that predicted by (8). When SNR of current density is high, the standard deviation of noise evaluated in current density magnitude is approximately the standard deviation of current density noise evaluated in real and imaginary parts of current density, and the standard deviation of current phase noise is close to the reciprocal of current density SNR in radians.




Fig4 Noise estimations for current density magnitude and phase at different current density level




Fig5 Experimental current noise evaluation with zero current applied to the phantom

Fig.4 shows the estimated standard deviations of current density magnitude and phase noise at current density level 25, 50 and 100 A/m<sup>2</sup> for 7 slices.  $T_1 = 160$  ms in the simulations. MRI SNR is 100 for all these three cases. The horizontal axis indicates the slice number. The current density magnitude noise and phase noise are plotted in log scales. We can see that the current noise evaluated from the simulations matches the predicted magnitude noise (solid line) and phase noise (dash-dot line) very well. Current noise increases exponentially as the slice number increases.

Fig.5 shows the results of estimated current density noise from seven slices for experiments without current applied.  $T_1$  was about 160 ms for these experiments. Standard deviation was evaluated in real part of the reconstructed current density. Current density noise increases with respect to the slice order, and the increasing trend is close to the predicted exponential curves. Because of different imaging parameters, MRI SNR was around 112 for one experiment and 28 for the other two.  $\Delta x$  and  $\Delta y$  in the first one are double the sizes of the rest two. Therefore, as predicted, the current noise increases by a factor of 8 in the later case.

#### IV. DISCUSSION

In multi-slice RF-CDI, the current density random noise increases exponentially with regard to  $T_1$ . Therefore, in biomedical applications, the relaxation rates of tissues to be imaged will constrain the number of slices that can be imaged. At a gross estimation, for most biological tissues that are commonly imaged by MRI, multi-slice RF-CDI will be able to image around 5 to 20 slices.

Random noise performance should also be analyzed at various current levels in RF-CDI experiments. Mean and standard deviation of current density were estimated for RF-CDI experiment with mean current density around 97 A/m<sup>2</sup>[4]. The estimated standard deviations were much

larger compared to the current noise predicted by (8). One possible reason is that the noiseless current density distribution might not be perfectly uniform in each slice. In fact, in the FDTD simulation results (Fig.3), minor variations due to the relative positions with regard to the return wires in both magnitude and phase images of  $J_z$  are observed. A more appropriate way to estimate the random noise performance in experiments is to compare the reconstructed current density with the one from numerical calculation. This will require careful registration of phantom and return wires between the FDTD simulation and the experiment.

#### V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, random noise performance for multi-slice RF-CDI has been analyzed theoretically and verified by simulations and experiments. The results have shown that the sensitivity to random noise of each slice is dependent on the longitudinal relaxation constant  $T_1$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank Dr. Cotas D. Sarris in University of Toronto for providing the original Matlab code for 3-D FDTD simulation.

#### REFRECS

- [1] G.C. Scott, "NMR imaging of current density and magnetic fields." Ph.D. Elec. Eng., Univ. Toronto, ON, Canada, 1993.
- [2] G. C. Scott, M. L. G. Joy, R. L. Armstrong, and R. M. Henkelman, "Rotating-frame RF current density imaging," *Magn. Reson. Med.*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 355-369, 1995.
- [3] J. C. Griffin, "Physiological effects of electric currents on living organisms, more particularly humans," in *Electrical shock safety criteria: proceedings of the first international symposium on electrical shock safety criteria*, J. Bridges, Ed. 1983, pp. 7-24.
- [4] D. Wang, "Multi-slice radio frequency current density imaging." Elec. Eng., Univ. Toronto, ON, Canada, 2004.
- [5] E. M. Haacke, R. W. Brown, M. R. Thompson, and R. Venkatesan, "Signal, contrast and noise," in *Magnetic resonance imaging physical principles and sequence design*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1999, pp. 331-380.
- [6] A. Taflove and S. Hagness, *Computational electrodynamics: the finite difference time domain method* Artech House, 2000.